



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/158,272    09/22/98    DIAS

V    10806-64

EXAMINER

HM12/0205

DINSMORE & SHOHL  
1900 CHEMED CENTER  
255 EAST FIFTH STREET  
CINCINNATI OH 45202

WOITACH, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1632

DATE MAILED:

02/05/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

**Interview Summary**Application No.  
09/158,272

Applicant(s)

Dias Et. Al.

Examiner  
Joseph WeitachGroup Art Unit  
1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph Weitach

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Holly D. Kozlowski

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 31, 2001Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: pending claimsIdentification of prior art discussed:  
None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant was informed that there were new issues with the pending claims not addressed in the previous office action.  
Applicants were informed that finality was going to be withdrawn and that a new office action on the merits of the case  
would be sent. Claims will be reviewed as amended in Applicants amendment filed January 22, 2001 (paper number 13).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.